arXiv:1304.5687v1 [math.AP] 21 Apr 2013

On the Cauchy Problem for Backward Stochastic Partial Differential Equations in Hölder Spaces*

Shanjian Tang Wenning Wei †

April 23, 2013

Abstract

The paper is concerned with solution in Hölder spaces of the Cauchy problem for linear and semi-linear backward stochastic partial differential equations (BSPDEs) of super-parabolic type. The pair of unknown functional variables are viewed as deterministic time-space functionals, but take values in Banach spaces of random (vector) variables or processes. We define suitable functional Hölder spaces for them and give some inequalities among these Hölder norms. The existence, uniqueness as well as the regularity of solutions are proved for BSPDEs, which contain new assertions even on deterministic PDEs.

Keywords: Backward stochastic partial differential equations, backward stochastic differential equations, Hölder space, heat potential

Mathematical subject classification (2010): 60H15, 35R60

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for backward stochastic partial differential equations (BSPDEs, for short) of super-parabolic type,

$$\begin{cases} -du(t,x) = \big[a_{ij}(t,x)\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x) + b_i(t,x)\partial_i u(t,x) + c(t,x)u(t,x) + f(t,x) \\ \qquad\qquad + \sigma_l(t,x)v_l(t,x)\big]\,dt - v_l(t,x)\,dW^l_t, \quad (t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n; \\ u(T,x) = \Phi(x), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Here $W=\{W_t : t\in[0,T]\}$ is a d-dimensional standard Brownian motion defined on some filtered probability space $(\Omega,\mathscr{F},\mathbb{F},P)$, with $\mathbb{F} := \{\mathscr{F}_t : t\in[0,T]\}$ being the augmented natural filtration generated by W, $(a_{ij})_{n\times n}$ is a symmetric and positive matrix, and b_i, c, σ_l, f and terminal term Φ are all random fields. Denote by $\mathscr{P}$ the predictable σ-algebra generated by $\mathbb{F}$. Here and after, we use the Einstein summation convention, and denote

$$\partial_s := \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \qquad \partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \qquad \partial^2_{ij} := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

---

[*] supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants #10325101 and #11171076), the Science Foundation for Ministry of Education of China (No.20090071110001), and the Chang Jiang Scholars Programme.

[†] Institute of Mathematical Finance and Department of Finance and Control Sciences, School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China. Email: sjtang@fudan.edu.cn (Shanjian Tang), wnwei@fudan.edu.cn (Wenning Wei)

Our aim is to find a pair of random fields $(u,v):[0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$ in suitable Hölder spaces such that BSPDE (1.1) is satisfied in some sense, and to study the regularity of (u,v) in the space variable x.

As a mathematically natural extension of backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs), (see, e.g. [3, 4, 5, 20, 15, 29]), BSPDEs arise in many applications of probability theory and stochastic processes. For instance, in the optimal control problem of stochastic differential equations (SDEs) with incomplete information or stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs), a linear BSPDE arises as the adjoint equation of SPDEs (or the Duncan-Mortensen-Zakai filtration equation) to formulate the maximum principle (see, e.g. [1, 2, 25, 26, 30, 31]). In the study of controlled non-Markovian SDEs by Peng [21], the so-called stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation is a class of fully non-linear BSPDEs. Solution of forward-backward stochastic differential equation (FBSDE) with random coefficients is also associated to that of a quasi-linear BSPDE, which gives the stochastic Feynman-Kac formula (see e.g. [17]).

Weak and strong solutions of linear BSPDEs have already received an extensive attention in literature. Strong solution in the Sobolev space $W^{m,2}$ is referred to e.g. [7, 9, 10, 6, 11, 13, 14, 17, 18, 23], and in L^p ($p\in(1,\infty)$) is referred to e.g. [8]. The theory of linear BSPDEs in Sobolev spaces is fairly complete now. Qiu and Tang [22] further discusses the maximum principle of BSPDEs in a domain. It is quite natural to consider now the Hölder solution of BSPDEs. We note that Tang [27] discusses the existence and uniqueness of a classical solution to semi-linear BSPDE using a probabilistic approach. However, the coefficients are required to be k-times (with $k\geq 2+\frac{n}{2}$) continuously differentiable in the spatial variable x, which is much higher than the necessary regularity on the coefficients known in the theory of deterministic PDEs. In this paper, the pair of unknown functional variables are viewed as deterministic time-space functionals, but take values in Banach spaces of random (vector) variables or processes. We discuss BSPDE (1.1) in Hölder spaces using the methods of deterministic PDEs (see Gilbarg and Trudinger [12], Ladyzhenskaja et. al. [16]), and establish a Hölder theory for BSPDEs under the spacial Hölder-continuity on the leading coefficients. The paper seems to be the first attempt at Hölder solution of BSPDEs.

As an alternative stochastic extension of deterministic second-order parabolic equations, (forward) SPDEs have been studied in Hölder spaces by Rozovskii [24] and Mikulevicius [19]. However, our BSPDE (1.1) is significantly different from a SPDE, in that the former has an extra unknown variable v whose regularity is usually worse. It serves in our BSPDE as the diffusion, but it is not previously given. Instead, it is endogenously determined by given coefficients via a martingale representation theorem. It is crucial to choose a suitable Hölder space to describe its regularity. On basis of the functional Hölder space introduced by Mikulevicius [19] for discussing a SPDE, we define in Section 2 functional Hölder spaces such as $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{S}^2_{\mathbb{F}}[0,T])$ for u, and $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d))$ for v. That is, we only discuss the continuity of the unknown pair (u,v) in x by looking at $(u(\cdot,x),v(\cdot,x))$ as a stochastic process taking values in the space $\mathscr{S}^2_{\mathbb{F}}[0,T]\times\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We first study the following model BSPDE with space-invariant coefficients.

$$\begin{cases}-du(t,x)=&\big[a_{ij}(t)\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x)+f(t,x)+\sigma_l(t)v_l(t,x)\big]\,dt\\ &\qquad\qquad -v_l(t,x)\,dW^l_t,\ (t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n,\\ u(T,x)=&\Phi(x),\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n.\end{cases}\tag{1.2}$$

Here the coefficients $a_{ij}(\cdot)$ and $\sigma_l(\cdot)$ $(i,j=1,\cdot,n;\ l=1,\cdot,d)$ do not depend on the space variable

x. The advantage of the simpler case is that the solution (u,v) admits an explicit expression in terms of the terminal value Φ and the free term f via their convolution with the heat potential. We prove the existence and uniqueness result of this equation, and show that $(u,v)(t,\cdot) \in C^{2+\alpha} \times C^{\alpha}$, and $u(\cdot,x) \in C^{\frac{1}{2}}$, when $\Phi \in C^{1+\alpha}$ and $f(t,\cdot) \in C^{\alpha}$. These regularity results are extended to general space-variable BSPDE (1.1) by the freezing coefficients method and the standard continuity argument. Moreover, when all the coefficients are deterministic, BSPDE (1.1) becomes a deterministic PDE, and our results include new consequences on a deterministic PDE.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define some functional Hölder spaces, and recall analytical properties of the heat potential. Section 3 is devoted to the existence, uniqueness and regularity of the solution of BSPDE (1.2). In Section 4, we extend the results in Section 3 to BSPDE (1.1) via the freezing coefficients method and the standard argument of continuity, and discuss their consequences on a deterministic PDE. In Section 5, the well-posedness of semi-linear BSPDE is given.

2 Preliminaries

Let $T > 0$ be fixed. $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$ is a filtered probability space, on which is defined a d-dimensional standard Brownian motion $W = \{W_t : t \in [0,T]\}$, such that $\mathbb{F} := \{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ is the natural filtration of W augmented by all the P-null sets in $\mathscr{F}$.

In this section, we define several functional Hölder spaces for Banach space valued functions, and recall analytical properties of the heat potential.

2.1 Notations and Hölder spaces

Define the set of multi-indices

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) : \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \text{ are all nonnegative integers}\}.$$

For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$|\gamma| := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i, \quad D^{\gamma} := \frac{\partial^{|\gamma|}}{\partial x_1^{\gamma_1} \partial x_2^{\gamma_2} \cdots \partial x_n^{\gamma_n}}.$$

The inner product in Euclidean space is denoted by $(\cdot,\cdot)$, and the norm by $|\cdot|$.

The following are some spaces of random variables or stochastic processes. For $p \in [1, +\infty)$ and a Euclidean space H such as $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n$, we define

$$L^p(\Omega; H) := \big\{\xi : \Omega \to H,\ \mathscr{F}\text{-measurable} \ \big|\ \|\xi\|_{L^p} := \big(E|\xi|^p\big)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty\big\},$$

$$\mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;H) := \Big\{f : \Omega \times [0,T] \to H,\ \mathbb{F}\text{-adapted} \ \Big|\ \|f\|_{\mathscr{L}^p} := \Big(E\int_0^T |f(t)|^p \, dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty\Big\},$$

$$\mathscr{L}^p_{\mathscr{F}}(0,T;H) := \Big\{f : \Omega \times [0,T] \to H,\ \mathscr{F} \times \mathscr{B}([0,T])\text{-measurable and } \Big[E\int_0^T |f(t)|^p dt\Big]^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty\Big\},$$

$$\mathscr{S}^p_{\mathbb{F}}([0,T];H) := \Big\{h : \Omega \times [0,T] \to H,\ \mathbb{F}\text{-adapted and path-continuous} \ \Big|\ \|h\|_{\mathscr{S}^p} := \Big(E\big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |f(t)|^p\big]\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty\Big\}.$$

In an obvious similar way, $L^\infty(\Omega;H), \mathscr{L}^\infty_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;H)$ and $\mathscr{S}^\infty_{\mathbb{F}}([0,T];H)$ are defined. Write $L^p(\Omega)$, $\mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T)$, and $\mathscr{S}^p_{\mathbb{F}}[0,T]$ for $L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$, $\mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R})$, and $\mathscr{S}^p_{\mathbb{F}}([0,T];\mathbb{R})$, respectively.

Recall the definition of classical Hölder spaces on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let m be a nonnegative integer and $\alpha \in (0,1)$ be a constant. $C^m(\mathbb{R}^n) := C^m_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of all m-times continuously differential functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of bounded derivatives up to order m. For any $\varphi \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $k \le m$, define the semi-norms:

$$[\varphi]_0 := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} |\varphi(x)|, \qquad [\varphi]_k := \sum_{|\gamma|=k} [D^\gamma \varphi]_0,$$

and the norm $|\varphi|_m := \sum_{k=0}^m [\varphi]_k$. $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of all the functions in $C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $[\varphi]_{m+\alpha} < +\infty$, where

$$[\varphi]_\alpha := \sup_{x\neq\bar{x}} \frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(\bar{x})|}{|x-\bar{x}|^\alpha}, \qquad [\varphi]_{k+\alpha} := \sum_{|\gamma|=k} [D^\gamma\varphi]_\alpha.$$

For $\varphi \in C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define the norm $|\varphi|_{m+\alpha} := |\varphi|_m + [\varphi]_{m+\alpha}$.

Now we define our functional Hölder spaces. For any $p \in [1,+\infty]$, a nonnegative integer m, and $\alpha \in (0,1)$, define

$$\begin{aligned} C^m(\mathbb{R}^n; L^p(\Omega)) :=\{&\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to L^p(\Omega),\ \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\mathscr{F}\text{-measurable}, \\ &\phi \text{ is } m\text{-times continuously differentiable in } x \text{ as an } L^p(\Omega)\text{-valued functional}, \\ &\text{with all the derivatives up to order } m \text{ being bounded in } L^p(\Omega)\}, \end{aligned}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{m,L^p} := \sum_{k=0}^m [\phi]_{k,L^p},$$

where

$$[\phi]_{k,L^p} := \sum_{|\gamma|=k} [D^\gamma\phi]_{0,L^p}, \quad [\phi]_{0,L^p} := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \|\phi(x)\|_{L^p}.$$

Define $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^p(\Omega))$ as the sub-space of all $\phi \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n; L^p(\Omega))$ with norm

$$\|\phi\|_{m+\alpha,L^p} := \|\phi\|_{m,L^p} + [\phi]_{m+\alpha,L^p} < +\infty,$$

where

$$[\phi]_{m+\alpha,L^p} := \sum_{|\gamma|=m} \sup_{\substack{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n \\ x\neq y}} \frac{\|D^\gamma\phi(x) - D^\gamma\phi(y)\|_{L^p}}{|x-y|^\alpha}.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} C^m(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota)) := \{&\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota),\ \psi(\cdot,x) \text{ is } \mathbb{F}\text{-adapted for any } x\in\mathbb{R}^n, \psi \text{ is} \\ &m\text{-times continuously differentiable in } x \text{ as an } \mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota)\text{-valued functional}, \\ &\text{with all derivatives up to order } m \text{ being bounded in } \mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota)\}, \end{aligned}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|\psi\|_{m,\mathscr{L}^p} := \sum_{k=0}^m [\psi]_{k,\mathscr{L}^p},$$

where

$$[\psi]_{k,\mathscr{L}^p} := \sum_{|\gamma|=k} [D^\gamma \psi]_{0,\mathscr{L}^p}, \quad [\psi]_{0,\mathscr{L}^p} := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \|\psi(\cdot,x)\|_{\mathscr{L}^p}.$$

Denote by $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota))$ the sub-space of all $\psi \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota))$ with norm

$$\|\psi\|_{m+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} := \|\psi\|_{m,\mathscr{L}^p} + [\psi]_{m+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} < +\infty,$$

where

$$[\psi]_{m+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} := \sum_{|\gamma|=m} \sup_{\substack{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n \\ x\neq y}} \frac{\|D^\gamma\psi(\cdot,x) - D^\gamma\psi(\cdot,y)\|_{\mathscr{L}^p}}{|x-y|^\alpha}.$$

Write $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$ for $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^1))$ for simplicity.

In an obvious similar way, define spaces $C^m(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{S}^p_{\mathbb{F}}[0,T])$ and $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{S}^p_{\mathbb{F}}[0,T])$, semi-norms $[\cdot]_{k,\mathscr{S}^p}$ and $[\cdot]_{k+\alpha,\mathscr{S}^p}$, and norms $\|\cdot\|_{k,\mathscr{S}^p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{k,\mathscr{S}^p}$.

Remark 2.1. For $h \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^\infty_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota))$ and $\psi \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota))$, we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
[h\psi]_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} &\le [h]_{0,\mathscr{L}^\infty}[\psi]_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} + [h]_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^\infty}[\psi]_{0,\mathscr{L}^p}, \\
\|h\psi\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} &\le [h]_{0,\mathscr{L}^\infty}\|\psi\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} + [h]_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^\infty}[\psi]_{0,\mathscr{L}^p}, \\
\|h\psi\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} &\le [h]_{0,\mathscr{L}^\infty}[\psi]_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} + \|h\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^\infty}[\psi]_{0,\mathscr{L}^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to classical Hölder spaces of scalar- or finite-dimensional vector-valued functions, we have the following interpolation inequalities.

Lemma 2.1. *For $\psi \in C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota))$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C = C(\varepsilon,\alpha)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
[\psi]_{2,\mathscr{L}^p} &\le \varepsilon[\psi]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} + C\,[\psi]_{0,\mathscr{L}^p}, \\
[\psi]_{1+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} &\le \varepsilon[\psi]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} + C\,[\psi]_{0,\mathscr{L}^p}, \\
[\psi]_{1,\mathscr{L}^p} &\le \varepsilon[\psi]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} + C\,[\psi]_{0,\mathscr{L}^p}, \\
[\psi]_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} &\le \varepsilon[\psi]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^p} + C\,[\psi]_{0,\mathscr{L}^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Analogous inequalities also hold for $\psi \in C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;L^p(\Omega))$ and $\psi \in C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{S}^p_{\mathbb{F}}[0,T])$.

The proof is similar to that of the interpolation inequalities in the classical Hölder spaces in Gilbarg and Trudinger [12, Lemma 6.32]. It is omitted here.

2.2 Linear BSPDEs

Consider the following Cauchy problem of linear BSPDEs in functional Hölder spaces,

$$\begin{cases}
-du(t,x) = \big[a_{ij}(t,x)\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x) + b_i(t,x)\partial_i u(t,x) + c(t,x)u(t,x) + f(t,x) \\
\qquad\qquad + \sigma_l(t,x)v_l(t,x)\big]\,dt - v_l(t,x)\,dW^l_t, \quad (t,x) \in [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n, \\
u(T,x) = \Phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

We make the following assumptions on the coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} &a:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathcal{S}^n, \quad b:[0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n,\\ &c:[0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}, \quad \sigma:[0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^d,\\ &f:[0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}, \quad \Phi:\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

which are random fields ($\mathcal{S}^n$ is the set of all $n\times n$-symmetric matrices) and jointly measurable, and whose values corresponding to each $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ are $\mathbb{F}$-adapted or $\mathscr{F}_T$-measurable.

Assumption 2.1. (Super-Parabolicity) There are two positive constants λ and Λ such that

$$\lambda|\xi|^2\le a_{ij}(t,x)\xi_i\xi_j\le\Lambda|\xi|^2, \quad \forall(t,x,\xi)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n.$$

Assumption 2.2. (Bounded) $a\in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^\infty_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}))$, $b\in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^\infty_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n))$, $c\in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^\infty_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$, and $\sigma\in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^\infty_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d))$. Also, a,b,c and σ are uniformly bounded, i.e., there is $\Lambda>0$ such that

$$\|a_{ij}\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^\infty}+\|b_i\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^\infty}+\|c\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^\infty}+\|\sigma_l\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^\infty}\le\Lambda.$$

Throughout this paper, a is assumed to be a deterministic function of (t,x). But for a unified exposition, we still write $a\in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^\infty_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}))$.

A classical solution to BSPDE (1.1) in Hölder space is defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let $\Phi\in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;L^2(\Omega))$ and $f\in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$. We call (u,v) a classical solution to BSPDE (2.1) if

$$(u,v)\in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{S}^2_{\mathbb{F}}[0,T])\cap C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))\times C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

and for all $(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,x)=&\Phi(x)+\int_t^T\Big[a_{ij}(s,x)\partial^2_{ij}u(s,x)+b_i(s,x)\partial_iu(s,x)+c(s,x)u(s,x)\\ &+f(s,x)+\sigma(s,x)v(s,x)\Big]\,ds-\int_t^T v(s,x)dW_s, \quad dP\text{-}a.s.. \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity of notation, define

$$C^\alpha_{\mathscr{S}^2}\cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}\times C^\alpha_{\mathscr{L}^2}:=C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{S}^2_{\mathbb{F}}[0,T])\cap C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))\times C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

2.3 Estimates on the heat potential

Consider the heat equation:

$$\partial_t u(t,x)=a_{ij}(t)\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x), \quad (t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.2}$$

where $(a_{ij})_{n\times n}:[0,T]\to\mathcal{S}^n$ satisfies the super-parabolic assumption. Define

$$G_{s,t}(x):=\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2}(\det A_{s,t})^{1/2}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\left(A^{-1}_{s,t}\,x,\,x\right)\right), \quad \forall 0\le t<s\le T,$$

where $A_{s,t}:=\int_t^s a(r)\,dr$. Then $G_{t,0}(x)$ is the fundamental solution to the heat equation (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_s G_{s,t}(x)&=a_{ij}(s)\partial^2_{ij}G_{s,t}(x), \quad s\ge t,\\ \partial_t G_{s,t}(x)&=-a_{ij}(t)\partial^2_{ij}G_{s,t}(x), \quad t\le s. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. From Ladyzhenskaja et. al [16], we have

(i)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x)dx = \begin{cases} 1, & \gamma = 0, \\ 0, & |\gamma| > 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

(ii) For any multi-index $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $s > t$, there exist $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda, \gamma, n)$ and $c \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ such that

$$|D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x)| \le C(s-t)^{-\frac{n+|\gamma|}{2}} \exp\left(-c\frac{|x|^2}{s-t}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

(iii) For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $n + |\gamma| > 2$, there is a constant $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda, \gamma, n, T)$ such that

$$\int_0^s |D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x)|\, dt \le C|x|^{-(n+|\gamma|)+2}, \quad \forall s \in [0, T]. \tag{2.5}$$

(iv) Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $|\gamma| \le 2$. There is a constant $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda, \gamma, \alpha, n, T)$, such that for any $T \ge s > \tau \ge 0$,

$$\int_\tau^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x)||x|^\alpha\, dx\, dt \le C. \tag{2.6}$$

(v) Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. There exists $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda, \gamma, n, T)$, such that

$$\sup_{\tau \le s} \int_\tau^s |D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x)||x|^\alpha\, dt \le C \int_0^T t^{-\frac{n+|\gamma|}{2}} |x|^\alpha \exp\left(-c\frac{|x|^2}{t}\right) dt. \tag{2.7}$$

The following lemmas will be used to derive a priori Hölder estimates in Section 3.

From Mikulevicius [19, Lemma 4], we have

Lemma 2.2. *For any multi-index $|\gamma| = 2$, there exists $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda, \gamma, n, T)$, such that for any $0 \le \tau \le s \le T$, and $a > 0$*

$$\int_\tau^s \left| \int_{|y| \le a} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(y)\, dy \right| dt = \int_\tau^s \left| \int_{|y| \ge a} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(y)\, dy \right| dt \le C.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\eta > 0$ be a constant. Then for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $|\gamma| = 2$, there is a constant $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda, \gamma, \alpha, n, T)$ such that*

$$\int_{B_\eta(0)} \sup_{\tau \le s} \int_\tau^s |D^\gamma G_{s,t}(y)||y|^\alpha\, dt\, dy \le C\eta^\alpha.$$

Proof. In view of (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_\eta(0)} \sup_{\tau \le s} \int_\tau^s |D^\gamma G_{s,t}(y)||y|^\alpha\, dt\, dy \\ \le& C \int_{B_\eta(0)} \int_0^T t^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} |y|^\alpha \exp\left(-c\frac{|y|^2}{t}\right) dt\, dy \\ \le& C \int_{B_\eta(0)} |y|^{-n+\alpha} dy \le\ C\eta^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.4. *For any* $x, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *and* $\gamma \in \Gamma$ *such that* $|\gamma| = 2$, *we have*

$$\int_{|y-x|>\eta} \sup_{\tau \le s} \int_\tau^s \Big| D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y) - D^\gamma G_{s,t}(\bar{x}-y) \Big| |\bar{x}-y|^\alpha \, dt \, dy \le C\eta^\alpha$$

where $\eta := 2|x - \bar{x}|$ *and* $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda, \gamma, \alpha, n, T)$.

Proof. Define $\widetilde{x} := x + 2(\bar{x} - x)$. Let ξ be any point on the segment joining x and $\bar{x}$. For $|y - x| > \eta$, we have

$$|\xi - x| \le \frac{1}{2}|x - \widetilde{x}| \le \frac{1}{2}|x - y|, \quad \frac{1}{2}|x - y| \le |\xi - y| = |(x-y) + (\xi - x)| \le \frac{3}{2}|x - y|.$$

In view of (2.4), (2.5), and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|y-x|>\eta} \sup_{\tau \le s} \int_\tau^s \Big| D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y) - D^\gamma G_{s,t}(\bar{x}-y) \Big| |\bar{x}-y|^\alpha \, dt \, dy \\
\le & C\eta \int_{|y-x|>\eta} \sup_{\tau \le s} \int_\tau^s \int_0^1 \Big| \partial_x D^\gamma G_{s,t}(r\bar{x} + (1-r)x - y) \Big| dr |x-y|^\alpha \, dt \, dy \\
\le & C\eta \int_{|y-x|>\eta} \int_0^T \int_0^1 t^{-\frac{n+3}{2}} \exp\left(-c \frac{|r\bar{x} + (1-r)x - y|^2}{t} \right) dr |x-y|^\alpha \, dt \, dy \\
\le & C\eta \int_0^T \int_{|y-x|>\eta} t^{-\frac{n+3}{2}} \exp\left(-c \frac{|x-y|^2}{t} \right) |x-y|^\alpha \, dt \, dy \\
\le & C\eta \int_{|y-x|>\eta} |x-y|^{-n-1+\alpha} \, dy \le \; C\eta^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3 BSPDE with space-invariant coefficients

Consider the following linear BSPDE with space-invariant coefficients,

$$\begin{cases} -du(t,x) = \big[a_{ij}(t) \partial_{ij}^2 u(t,x) + f(t,x) + \sigma_l(t) v_l(t,x) \big] \, dt \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - v_l(t,x) \, dW_t^l, \quad (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(T,x) = \Phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $(a_{ij})_{n \times n} : [0,T] \to \mathcal{S}^n$ and $\sigma : \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ are $\mathbb{F}$-adapted. For this case, Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 can be combined into the following one.

Assumption 3.1. There exist two positive constants $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$, such that

(a) For any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\lambda |\xi| \le a_{ij}(t) \xi_i \xi_j \le \Lambda |\xi|, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(b) $\sigma \in \mathscr{L}_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\|\sigma\|_{\mathscr{L}^\infty} \le \Lambda$.

In what follows, we obtain an explicit expression for the classical solution (u, v) to BSPDE (3.1) by the terminal term Φ and the free term f. Consequently, the a priori Hölder estimates are derived. At last, we prove the existence and uniqueness result of classical solution to BSPDE (3.1).

3.1 Explicit Expression of (u, v)

For simplicity of notation, denote

$$R_t^s\phi(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{s,t}(x-y)\phi(y)dy, \quad \forall s > t,$$

$$R_t^s\psi(\cdot)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{s,t}(x-y)\psi(\cdot, y)dy, \quad \forall s > t.$$

First, we have

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that Assumption 3.1 holds,* $\Phi \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega))$ *and* $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$*. If* $(u, v) \in C^\alpha_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2} \times C^\alpha_{\mathscr{L}^2}$ *is the classical solution of BSPDE* (3.1)*, then for all* $(t, x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$*,*

$$u(t,x) = R_t^T\Phi(x) + \int_t^T \Big[R_t^s f(s)(x) + \sigma_l(s) R_t^s v_l(s)(x)\Big] ds - \int_t^T R_t^s v_l(s)(x)\, dW_s^l, \ \textit{P-a.s.}.$$

Proof. For all $(t, x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ fixed, $s \in (t, T]$, using Itô's formula, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{s,t}(x-y)u(s,y) \\ =& G_{T,t}(x-y)u(T,y) - \int_s^T G_{r,t}(x-y)\, du(r,y) - \int_s^T u(r,y)\, dG_{r,t}(x-y) \\ =& G_{T,t}(x-y)\Phi(y) + \int_s^T \Big[G_{r,t}(x-y)f(r,y) + \sigma_l(r)G_{r,t}(x-y)v_l(r,y)\Big]\, dr \\ & \qquad - \int_s^T G_{r,t}(x-y)v_l(r,y)\, dW_r^l \\ & - \int_s^T \Big[a_{ij}(r)\partial^2_{ij}G_{r,t}(x-y)u(r,y) - G_{r,t}(x-y)a_{ij}(r)\partial^2_{ij}u(r,y)\Big]\, dr. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_s^T \Big[a_{ij}(r)\partial^2_{ij}G_{r,t}(x-y)u(r,y) - G_{r,t}(x-y)a_{ij}(r)\partial^2_{ij}u(r,y)\Big]\, dr\, dy = 0.$$

Stochastic Fubini theorem (see Da Prato [28, Theorem 4.18]) gives that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_s^T G_{r,t}(x-y)v_l(r,y)\, dW_r^l\, dy = \int_s^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{r,t}(x-y)v_l(r,y)\, dy\, dW_r^l.$$

Thus, integrating w.r.t. y over $\mathbb{R}^n$ both sides of (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{s,t}(x-y)u(s,y)\, dy \\ =& R_t^T\Phi(x) + \int_s^T \Big[R_t^r f(r)(x) + \sigma_l(r)R_t^r v_l(r)(x)\Big]\, dr - \int_s^T R_t^r v_l(r)(x)\, dW_r^l. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

In what follows, we compute the limit of each part of (3.3) as $s \to t$.

Since $u \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{S}^2_{\mathbb{F}}[0,T])$, we have from estimates (2.3) and (2.4) on the heat potential that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{s,t}(x-y)\, u(s,y)\, dy - u(t,x)\right|^2 \\
= \; & E\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{s,t}(x-y)\, [u(s,y)-u(t,x)]\, dy\right|^2 \\
\le & \, C\, E \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{s,t}(x-y)\, |u(s,y)-u(t,x)|^2\, dy \\
\le & \, C\, E \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-c|z|^2\right) \left|u(s, x-\sqrt{s-t}z) - u(t,x)\right|^2 dz \longrightarrow 0, \quad as\ s \downarrow t.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

In view of (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\left|\int_t^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{r,t}(x-y)\, v_l(r,y)\, dy\, dW_r^l\right|^2 \\
= \; & E \int_t^s \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{r,t}(x-y)\, [v(r,y)-v(r,x)]\, dy + v(r,x)\right|^2\, dr \\
\le & \, 2\, E \int_t^s \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{r,t}(x-y)\, [v(r,y)-v(r,x)]\, dy\right|^2\, dr + 2E\int_t^s |v(r,x)|^2\, dr \\
\le & \, C\, E \int_t^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{r,t}(x-y)\ |v(r,y)-v(r,x)|^2\, dy\, dr + 2E\int_t^s |v(r,x)|^2\, dr \\
\le & \, C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{r\in[t,s]} G_{r,t}(x-y)\ |x-y|^{2\alpha} dy \cdot \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n} E\int_t^s \frac{|v(r,y)-v(r,x)|^2}{|x-y|^{2\alpha}} dr \\
& \quad + 2E\int_t^s |v(r,x)|^2 dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $v \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d))$, we have

$$\lim_{s\downarrow t} E\int_t^s |v(r,x)|^2\, dr = 0, \quad \lim_{s\downarrow t} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n} E\int_t^s \frac{|v(r,y)-v(r,x)|^2}{|x-y|^{2\alpha}}\, dr = 0.$$

In view of Lemma 3.2 below, we obtain

$$\lim_{s\downarrow t} E\left|\int_t^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{r,t}(x-y)\, v_l(r,y)\, dy\, dW_r^l\right|^2 = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

In a similar way, we have

$$\lim_{s\downarrow t} E\left|\int_t^s \left[R_t^r f(r)(x) + \sigma_l(r) R_t^r v_l(r)(x)\right] dr\right|^2 = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Letting $s \to t$ in equality (3.3), we have the desired result from (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6). □

Lemma 3.2. *For sufficiently small $s-t$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{r\in[t,s]} G_{r,t}(x-y)\, |x-y|^{2\alpha}\, dy < +\infty.$$

Proof. First, consider the following function ρ:

$$\rho(t,r) := t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\Big(-\frac{c}{t}r^2\Big), \quad (t,r) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

We have

$$\partial_t \rho(t,r) = \Big(cr^2 - \frac{1}{2}nt\Big) t^{-2} \rho(t,r).$$

Therefore, the function $\rho(\cdot, r)$ increases on $[0, \frac{1}{4}T]$ for any fixed r such that $r^2 > M^2 := \frac{nT}{8c}$, and we have

$$\sup_{t\in[0,\frac{1}{4}T]} \rho(t,r) \le \rho(\frac{1}{4}T, r).$$

Now let us derive the desired inequality. Choose $s - t \le \frac{T}{4}$. In view of estimate (2.4) to the heat potential, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{2\alpha} \sup_{r\in[t,s]} G_{r,t}(x-y)\,dy \\
=&\int_{|x-y|\le M} |x-y|^{2\alpha} \sup_{r\in[t,s]} G_{r,t}(x-y)\,dy + \int_{|x-y|>M} |x-y|^{2\alpha} \sup_{r\in[t,s]} G_{r,t}(x-y)\,dy \\
\le& C \int_{|z|\le M} |z|^{2\alpha} \sup_{r\in[t,s]} \rho(r-t, |z|)\,dz + C\int_{|z|>M} |z|^{2\alpha} \sup_{r\in[t,s]} \rho(r-t,|z|)\,dz \\
=& I_1 + C\int_{|z|>M} |z|^{2\alpha} \rho(\frac{1}{4}T, |z|)\,dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the second integral is easily verified to be finite, it remains to show $I_1 < \infty$. Noting that $\rho(t, |z|)$ is maximized at $t = \frac{2}{n}c|z|^2$ over $[0,T]$ for any fixed z such that $|z| \le M$, we have

$$\sup_{r\in[t,s]} \rho(r-t, |z|) \le \rho(\frac{2}{n}c|z|^2, |z|) \le C|z|^{-n}$$

and

$$I_1 \le C \int_{|z|\le M} |z|^{-n+2\alpha}\,dz < +\infty.$$

The proof is then complete.

□

In Lemma 3.1, the expression of u still depends on v, which is unknown. Next, we construct an explicit expression of (u, v) only in terms of the terminal term Φ and the free term f.

Let $\Phi \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega))$ and $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$. Consider the following two family BSDEs: for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and almost all $\tau \in [0,T]$,

$$\varphi(t;x) = \Phi(x) + \int_t^T \sigma_l(r)\psi_l(r;x)\,dr - \int_t^T \psi_l(r;x)\,dW_r^l, \quad \forall t \le T, \tag{3.7}$$

$$Y(t;\tau,x) = f(\tau,x) + \int_t^\tau \sigma_l(r) g_l(r;\tau,x)\,dr - \int_t^\tau g_l(r;\tau,x)\,dW_r^l, \quad \forall t \le \tau, \tag{3.8}$$

and $(\varphi(\cdot,x), \psi(\cdot,x))$ and $(Y(\cdot;\tau,x), g(\cdot;\tau,x))$ are their solutions, respectively.

From the theory of BSDEs, we have

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that* $(\Phi, f) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega)) \times C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$. *Let* (φ,ψ) *and* (Y,g) *be defined by solutions of BSDEs* (3.7) *and* (3.8), *respectively. Then,*

$$(\varphi,\psi) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{S}^2[0,T]) \times C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

and there is $C = C(\alpha, n, d)$ *such that*

$$\|\varphi\|_{1+\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|\psi\|_{1+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \le C\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2},$$

and

$$\sup_x E\int_0^T \sup_{t\le r}|Y(t;r,x)|^2\,dr + \sup_{x\neq\bar{x}} \frac{E\int_0^T \sup_{t\le r}|Y(t;r,x)-Y(t;r,\bar{x})|^2 dr}{|x-\bar{x}|^{2\alpha}} \le C\|f\|^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2},$$

$$\sup_x E\int_0^T\int_0^r |g(t;r,x)|^2 dt\,dr + \sup_{x\neq\bar{x}} \frac{E\int_0^T\int_0^r |g(t;r,x)-g(t;r,\bar{x})|^2 dtdr}{|x-\bar{x}|^{2\alpha}} \le C\|f\|^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}.$$

We have the following explicit expression of (u,v).

Theorem 3.4. *Let Assumption 3.1 hold and* $(\Phi, f) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega)) \times C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$. *Let* (φ,ψ) *and* (Y,g) *be defined by solutions of BSDEs* (3.7) *and* (3.8), *respectively, and* $(u,v) \in C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2} \times C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}$ *solve BSPDE* (3.1). *Then for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$u(t,x) = R_t^T\varphi(t)(x) + \int_t^T R_t^s Y(t;s)(x)\,ds, \quad \forall t\in[0,T],\ dP\text{-a.s.},$$

$$v_l(s,x) = R_s^T\psi_l(s)(x) + \int_s^T R_s^r g_l(s;r)(x)\,dr, \quad ds\times dP\text{-a.e.}, \text{a.s.},\ l=1,\cdots,d.$$

Proof. Define

$$\widetilde{W}_t := -\int_0^t \sigma(s)\,ds + W_t$$

and the equivalent probability Q by

$$dQ := \exp\left(\int_0^T \sigma_l(t)\,dW_t^l - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T |\sigma(t)|^2 dt\right)\,dP.$$

Then, $\widetilde{W}$ is a Brownian motion on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{F}, Q)$. In view of Lemma 3.1, we see that for all $(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$u(t,x) = R_t^T\Phi(x) + \int_t^T R_t^s f(s)(x)\,ds - \int_t^T R_t^s v_l(s)(x)\,d\widetilde{W}_s^l, \quad P\text{-a.s.},$$

$$\varphi(t;x) = \Phi(x) - \int_t^T \psi_l(s;x)\,d\widetilde{W}_s^l, \quad P\text{-a.s.},$$

and for almost all $\tau\in[0,T]$ and any $s\le\tau$,

$$Y(s;\tau,x) = f(\tau,x) - \int_s^\tau g_l(r;\tau,x)\,d\widetilde{W}_r^l, \qquad P\text{-a.s.}.$$

In view of the stochastic Fubini theorem and semi-group property of $G_{t,s}(\cdot)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R_t^T\Phi(x)+\int_t^T R_t^s f(s)(x)\,ds \\ =\, & R_t^T\varphi(t)(x)+\int_t^T R_t^s Y(t;s)(x)\,ds \\ & \quad +\int_t^T R_t^T\psi_l(s)(x)\,d\widetilde{W}_s^l+\int_t^T R_t^s\int_t^s g_l(r;s)(x)\,d\widetilde{W}_r^l\,ds \\ =\, & R_t^T\varphi(t)(x)+\int_t^T R_t^s Y(t;s)(x)\,ds \\ & \quad +\int_t^T R_t^s\Big(R_s^T\psi_l(s)+\int_s^T R_s^r g_l(s;r)\,dr\Big)(x)\,d\widetilde{W}_s^l. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & u(t,x)+\int_t^T R_t^s v_l(s)(x)\,d\widetilde{W}_s^l \\ =\, & R_t^T\varphi(t)(x)+\int_t^T R_t^s Y(t;s)(x)\,ds \\ & \quad +\int_t^T R_t^s\Big(R_s^T\psi_l(s)+\int_s^T R_s^r g_l(s;r)\,dr\Big)(x)\,d\widetilde{W}_s^l. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

In view of Lemma 3.3, we have for each $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\int_t^T\left|R_t^s\Big(R_s^T\psi(s)+\int_s^T R_s^r g(s;r)\,dr\Big)(x)-R_t^s v(s)(x)\right|^2 ds<+\infty,\quad P\text{-}a.s..$$

Taking the conditional expectation $E_Q[\cdot|\mathscr{F}_t]$ on both sides of (3.10), we have almost surely

$$u(t,x)=R_t^T\varphi(t)(x)+\int_t^T R_t^s Y(t;s)(x)\,ds,\quad \forall x\in\mathbb{R}^n;$$

and

$$\int_t^T R_t^s\left(R_s^T\psi_l(s)+\int_s^T R_s^r g_l(s;r)\,dr-v_l(s)\right)(x)\,d\widetilde{W}_s^l=0,\quad \forall (t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n,$$

which implies the following

$$E\int_t^T\left|R_t^s\left(R_s^T\psi_l(s)+\int_s^T R_s^r g_l(s;r)\,dr-v_l(s)\right)(x)\right|^2 ds=0,\quad \forall (t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{3.11}$$

Then, for all $l=1,\cdots,d$, we have almost surely

$$V_l(t,x):=\int_t^T R_t^s\Big(R_s^T\psi_l(s)+\int_s^T R_s^r g_l(s;r)\,dr-v_l(s)\Big)(x)\,ds=0,\quad (t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n,$$

which almost surely solves a deterministic PDE and therefore the nonhomogeneous term (the sum in the bigger pair of parentheses in the last equality) of this PDE is equal to zero. Consequently, we have for each $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$v_l(s,x)=R_s^T\psi_l(s)(x)+\int_s^T R_s^r g_l(s;r)(x)\,dr,\quad ds\times dP\text{-}a.e., a.s..$$

The proof is complete. □

3.2 Hölder Estimates

Using the explicit expression of (u, v) of Theorem 3.4, we shall derive Hölder estimates for (u, v) in relevance to $\Phi \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega))$ and $f \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T))$.

We need some lemmas first. From the estimates to the heat potential, we have

Lemma 3.5. *Let Assumption 3.1 hold and* $(\Phi, f) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega)) \times C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T))$. *Then for all* $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $R_t^T \Phi(x)$ *is twice continuously differentiable in* x *as an* $L^2(\Omega)$*-valued functional, and*

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i R_t^T \Phi(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{T,t}(x-y) \partial_i \Phi(y)\, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_i G_{T,t}(x-y) \Phi(y)\, dy, \\
\partial^2_{ij} R_t^T \Phi(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_i G_{T,t}(x-y) \partial_j \Phi(y)\, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^2_{ij} G_{T,t}(x-y) \big[\Phi(y) - \Phi(x)\big]\, dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\int_t^T R_t^s f(s)(x)\, ds$ *is twice continuously differentiable in* x *as an* $\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathscr{F}}(0, T)$*-valued functional, and*

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i \int_t^T R_t^s f(s)(x) ds &= \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_i G_{s,t}(x-y) f(s, y)\, dy\, ds, \\
\partial^2_{ij} \int_t^T R_t^s f(s)(x) ds &= \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^2_{ij} G_{s,t}(x-y) \big[f(s, y) - f(s, x)\big]\, dy\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let Assumption 3.1 hold and* $(\Phi, f) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega)) \times C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T))$. *Let* (φ, ψ) *and* (Y, g) *be defined by solutions of BSDEs* (3.7) *and* (3.8)*, respectively. Then, we have for all* $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i R_t^T \varphi(t)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{T,t}(x-y) \partial_i \varphi(t, y)\, dy, \ \ P\text{-a.s.}, \\
\partial^2_{ij} R_t^T \varphi(t)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_i G_{T,t}(x-y) \big[\partial_j \varphi(t, y) - \partial_j \varphi(t, x)\big]\, dy, \ \ P\text{-a.s.}.
\end{aligned}$$

and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $dt \times dP$*-a.e.,a.s.,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_i \int_t^T R_t^s Y(t; s)(x) ds &= \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_i G_{s,t}(x-y) Y(t; s, y)\, dy\, ds, \\
\partial^2_{ij} \int_t^T R_t^s Y(t; s)(x) ds &= \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^2_{ij} G_{s,t}(x-y) \big[Y(t; s, y) - Y(t; s, x)\big]\, dy\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

The following estimate will be used frequently.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that* $(A, \mathscr{A})$ *is a measurable space, and* $\mu : [0, T] \times [0, T] \times A \to \mathbb{R}$ *is* $\mathscr{B}([0, T]^2) \times \mathscr{A}$*-measurable. Let* $h : [0, T] \times [0, T] \times \Omega \times A \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a measurable function. Then there exists a constant* C*, such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \int_0^T \Big| \int_t^T \int_A h(t, s, a) \mu(s, t; a)\, da\, ds \Big|^2 dt \\
\leq\, & C \sup_{a \in A} E \int_0^T \sup_{t \leq s} |h(t, s, a)|^2 ds \cdot \int_A \sup_s \int_0^s |\mu(s, t; a)| dt da \cdot \sup_t \int_t^T \int_A |\mu(s, t; a)| da ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\int_0^T\Big|\int_t^T\int_A h(t,s,a)\mu(s,t;a)\,da\,ds\Big|^2\,dt\\
\le\,& CE\int_0^T\left[\int_t^T\int_A|h(t,s,a)|^2|\mu(s,t;a)|da\,ds\,\cdot\,\int_t^T\int_A|\mu(s,t;a)|dads\right]\,dt\\
\le\,& C\int_A E\left[\int_0^T\sup_{t\le s}|h(t,s,a)|^2\cdot\int_0^s|\mu(s,t;a)|dt\,ds\right]\,da\,\cdot\,\sup_t\int_t^T\int_A|\mu(s,t;a)|dads\\
\le\,& C\sup_a E\Big[\int_0^T\sup_{t\le s}|h(t,s,a)|^2\,ds\Big]\,\cdot\,\int_A\sup_s\int_0^s|\mu(s,t;a)|\,dt\,da\,\cdot\,\sup_t\int_t^T\int_A|\mu(s,t;a)|dads.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Then, we have the Hölder estimates for both parts in the expression of u.

Lemma 3.8. *Let Assumption 3.1 be satisfied and that*

$$(\Phi,f)\in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;L^2(\Omega))\times C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T)).$$

Let (φ,ψ) and (Y,g) be defined as before. Then, we have

$$\|R^T_{\cdot}\varphi(\cdot)\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\le\,C\,\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2},$$

$$\Big\|\int_\cdot^T R^s_{\cdot}Y(\cdot;s)\,ds\Big\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\le\,C\,\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2},$$

where $C=C(\lambda,\Lambda,\alpha,n,d,T)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the second inequality, and the first one can be proved in a similar way.

For $\gamma\in\Gamma$ such that $|\gamma|\le1$, in view of (2.6), (2.7), and Lemmas 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\int_0^T\left|D^\gamma\int_t^T R_{s,t}Y(t;s)(x)\,ds\right|^2\,dt\\
=\,&E\int_0^T\left|\int_t^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)Y(t;s,y)\,dy\,ds\right|^2\,dt\\
\le\,&C\,\sup_y E\int_0^T\sup_{t\le s}|Y(t;s,y)|^2ds\,\cdot\,\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sup_s\int_0^s|D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)|dtdy\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot\,\sup_\tau\int_\tau^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|D^\gamma G_{s,\tau}(x-y)|dyds\\
\le\,&C\,\sup_y E\int_0^T\sup_{t\le s}|Y(t;s,y)|^2\,ds\,\cdot\,\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sup_{\tau\le s}\int_\tau^s|D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)|dt\,dy\right|^2\\
\le\,&C\|f\|^2_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\,\cdot\,\left|\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}t^{-\frac{n+|\gamma|}{2}}\exp\left(-c\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}\right)dy\,dt\right|^2\\
\le\,&C\,\|f\|^2_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\Big\|\int_\cdot^T R_{s,\cdot}Y(\cdot;s)\,ds\Big\|_{1,\mathscr{L}^2}\le\,C\,\|f\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}.\tag{3.12}$$

For $|\gamma|=2$, in view of (2.6), (2.7), and Lemmas 3.3, 3.5 and 3.7, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\int_0^T \left|D^\gamma \int_t^T R_t^s Y(t;s)\,ds\right|^2\,dt\\
&= C\,E\int_0^T \left|\int_t^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)|x-y|^\alpha \frac{|Y(t;s,y)-Y(t;s,x)|}{|x-y|^\alpha}\,dy\,ds\right|^2\,dt\\
&\le C\sup_y E\int_0^T \sup_{t\le s}\frac{|Y(t;s,y)-Y(t;s,x)|^2}{|x-y|^{2\alpha}}ds\cdot\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\sup_{\tau\le s}\int_\tau^s |D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)||x-y|^\alpha dt\,dy\right|^2\\
&\le C\,[f]^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\cdot\left|\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t^{-\frac{n+|\gamma|}{2}}\exp\left(-c\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}\right)|x-y|^\alpha dy\,dt\right|^2\\
&\le C\,[f]^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left[\int_\cdot^T R_{s,\cdot}Y(t;s)\,ds\right]_{2,\mathscr{L}^2}\le\; C\,[f]_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}. \tag{3.13}
$$

Define $\upsilon:=2|x-\bar{x}|$ for $x\ne\bar{x}$. By Lemma 3.5, we have for $|\gamma|=2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D^\gamma\int_t^T R_t^s Y(t;s)(x)\,ds - D^\gamma\int_t^T R_t^s Y(t;s)(\bar{x})\,ds\\
&=\int_t^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)\Big[Y(t;s,y)-Y(t;s,x)\Big]\,dy\,ds\\
&\qquad-\int_t^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(\bar{x}-y)\Big[Y(t;s,y)-Y(t;s,\bar{x})\Big]\,dy\,ds\\
&=\sum_{i=1}^4 I_i(t,x,\bar{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1&:=\int_t^T\int_{B_\upsilon(x)} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)\,[Y(t;s,y)-Y(t;s,x)]\;dy\,ds,\\
I_2&:=-\int_t^T\int_{B_\upsilon(x)} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(\bar{x}-y)\,[Y(t;s,y)-Y(t;s,\bar{x})]\;dy\,ds,\\
I_3&:=\int_t^T\int_{|y-x|>\upsilon} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)\,[Y(t;s,x)-Y(t;s,\bar{x})]\;dy\,ds,\\
I_4&:=-\int_t^T\int_{|y-x|>\upsilon} [D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)-D^\gamma G_{s,t}(\bar{x}-y)]\,[Y(t;s,y)-Y(t;s,\bar{x})]\;dy\,ds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}
$$

Next, we estimate $I_i(t,x,\bar{x})$ for $i=1,2,3,4$. In view of Lemmas 2.3, 3.3, 3.5, and 3.7, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\int_0^T |I_1(t,x,\bar{x})|^2\,dt\\
&=E\int_0^T\left|\int_t^T\int_{B_\upsilon(x)} |D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)||x-y|^\alpha\frac{|Y(t;s,y)-Y(t;s,x)|}{|x-y|^\alpha}\,dy\,ds\right|^2\,dt\\
&\le C\sup_y E\int_0^T\sup_{t\le s}\frac{|Y(t;s,y)-Y(t;s,x)|^2}{|x-y|^{2\alpha}}ds\cdot\left|\int_{B_\upsilon(x)}\sup_{\tau\le s}\int_\tau^s |D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)||x-y|^\alpha dt\,dy\right|^2\\
&\le C[f]^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}|x-\bar{x}|^{2\alpha}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we have

$$E\int_0^T |I_2(t,x,\bar{x})|^2\,dt \le\ C[f]^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}|x-\bar{x}|^{2\alpha}.$$

From Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\int_0^T |I_3(t,x,\bar{x})|^2\,dt\\
\le{}& E\int_0^T \left|\int_t^T |Y(t;s,x)-Y(t;s,\bar{x})|\,\left|\int_{|y-x|>\upsilon} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)\,dy\right|\,ds\right|^2\,dt\\
\le{}& E\int_0^T\!\!\int_t^T |Y(t;s,x)-Y(t;s,\bar{x})|^2\left|\int_{|y-x|>\upsilon} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)\,dy\right|\,ds\,dt\\
&\qquad\cdot\sup_t\int_t^T\left|\int_{|y-x|>\upsilon} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(\bar{x}-y)\,dy\right|\,ds\\
\le{}& CE\int_0^T\sup_{t\le s}|Y(t;s,x)-Y(t;s,\bar{x})|^2 ds\ \cdot\ \sup_s\int_0^s\left|\int_{|y-x|>\upsilon} D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)\,dy\right|\,dt\\
\le{}& C[f]^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}|x-\bar{x}|^{2\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $I_4(t,x,\bar{x})$, in view of (2.7) and Lemmas 2.4, 3.3 and 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\int_0^T |I_4(t,x,\bar{x})|^2\,dt\\
\le{}& CE\int_0^T\left|\int_t^T\!\!\int_{|y-x|>\upsilon}|D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)-D^\gamma G_{s,t}(\bar{x}-y)|\,|Y(t;s,y)-Y(t;s,\bar{x})|dyds\right|^2\,dt\\
\le{}& C\,\sup_y E\int_0^T\sup_{t\le s}\frac{|Y(t;s,y)-Y(t;s,\bar{x})|^2}{|\bar{x}-y|^{2\alpha}}ds\\
&\cdot\ \left[\int_{|y-x|>\upsilon}\sup_{\tau\le s}\int_\tau^s|D^\gamma G_{s,t}(x-y)-D^\gamma G_{s,t}(\bar{x}-y)|\,|\bar{x}-y|^\alpha dt\,dy\right]^2\\
\le{}& C[f]^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}|x-\bar{x}|^{2\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

In summary, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 E\int_0^T |I_i(t,x,\bar{x})|^2\,dt \le C\,[f]^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}|x-\bar{x}|^{2\alpha}. \tag{3.15}$$

Combining (3.12), (3.13), and (3.15), we have

$$\left\|\int_\cdot^T R_{s,\cdot}Y(\cdot;s)\,ds\right\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \le\ C\,\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}.$$

□

Next, we establish the Hölder estimates for both parts in the expression of v.

Lemma 3.9. *Let Assumption 3.1 be satisfied and* $(\Phi, f) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega)) \times C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$. *Let* (φ, ψ) *and* (Y, g) *be defined by solutions of BSDEs* (3.7) *and* (3.8)*, respectively. Then*

$$\|R_{\cdot}^{T}\psi(\cdot)\|_{1+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \le C\,\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2},$$

$$\Big\|\int_{\cdot}^{T} R_{\cdot}^{s} g(\cdot;s)\,ds\Big\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \le C\,\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2},$$

where $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, n, d, T)$.

Proof. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $|\gamma| \le 1$, using Itô's lemma and stochastic Fubini theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^\gamma R_s^T \varphi(s)(x)\\
&= D^\gamma \Phi(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_s^T G_{T,\tau}(x-y)\,d[D^\gamma\varphi(\tau,y)]\,dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_s^T D^\gamma\varphi(\tau,y)\,dG_{T,\tau}(x-y)\,dy\\
&= D^\gamma \Phi(x) + \int_s^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big[a_{ij}(\tau)\partial^2_{ij}G_{T,\tau}(x-y)D^\gamma\varphi(\tau,y) + \sigma(\tau)G_{T,\tau}(x-y)D^\gamma\psi(\tau,y)\Big]\,dy\,d\tau\\
&\qquad\qquad - \int_s^T D^\gamma R_\tau^T\psi(\tau)(x)\,dW_\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

From the theory of BSDEs, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\int_0^T |D^\gamma R_\tau^T \psi(\tau)(x)|^2\,d\tau\\
&\le CE\left[|D^\gamma\Phi(x)|^2 + \left|\int_0^T a_{ij}(\tau)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\partial^2_{ij}G_{T,\tau}(x-y)[D^\gamma\varphi(\tau,y) - D^\gamma\varphi(\tau,x)]dy\,d\tau\right|^2\right]\\
&\le CE\left[|D^\gamma\Phi(x)|^2 + \left|\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\partial^2_{ij}G_{T,\tau}(x-y)[D^\gamma\varphi(\tau,y) - D^\gamma\varphi(\tau,x)]dy\,d\tau\right|^2\right],
\end{aligned}$$

and for $\bar{x} \ne x$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\int_0^T |D^\gamma R_\tau^T\psi(\tau)(x) - D^\gamma R_\tau^T\psi(\tau)(\bar{x})|^2\,d\tau\\
&\le CE\bigg[|D^\gamma\Phi(x) - D^\gamma\Phi(\bar{x})|^2 + \bigg|\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big[\partial^2_{ij}G_{T,\tau}(x-y)[D^\gamma\varphi(\tau,y) - D^\gamma\varphi(\tau,x)]\\
&\qquad\qquad - \partial^2_{ij}G_{T,\tau}(\bar{x}-y)[D^\gamma\varphi(\tau,y) - D^\gamma\varphi(\tau,\bar{x})]\Big]dy\,d\tau\bigg|^2\bigg].
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly as in Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\|R_{\cdot}^{T}\psi(\cdot)\|_{1+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \le C\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2}.$$

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and almost all $r \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_t^r Y(t;r)(x) = f(r,x) &+ \int_t^r \sigma(s)R_s^r g(s;r)(x)\,ds - \int_t^r R_s^r g(s;r)(x)\,dW_s\\
&+ \int_t^r a_{ij}(s)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\partial^2_{ij}G_{r,s}(x-y)\big[Y(s;r,y) - Y(s;r,x)\big]\,ds, \quad \forall t \le r.
\end{aligned}$$

From the theory of BSDEs, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\int_0^r |R_s^r g(s;r)(x)|^2\,ds\\
&\le C\,E\Big[|f(r,x)|^2+\int_0^r \Big|a_{ij}(s)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\partial^2_{ij}G_{r,s}(x-y)\big[Y(s;r,y)-Y(s;r,x)\big]\Big|^2\,ds\\
&\le C\,E\Big[|f(r,x)|^2+\int_0^r \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\partial^2_{ij}G_{r,s}(x-y)\big[Y(s;r,y)-Y(s;r,x)\big]\,dy\Big|^2\,ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides on $[0,T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\int_0^T\int_s^T |R_s^r g(s;r)(x)|^2\,dr\,ds=E\int_0^T\int_0^r |R_s^r g(s;r)(x)|^2\,ds\,dr\\
&\le C\,E\Big[\int_0^T|f(r,x)|^2\,dr+\int_0^T\int_0^r\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\partial^2_{ij}G_{r,s}(x-y)\big[Y(s;r,y)-Y(s;r,x)\big]\,dy\Big|^2\,ds\,dr\\
&\le C\,E\Big[\int_0^T|f(r,x)|^2\,dr+\int_0^T\int_s^T\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\partial^2_{ij}G_{r,s}(x-y)\big[Y(s;r,y)-Y(s;r,x)\big]\,dy\Big|^2\,dr\,ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\int_0^T\int_s^T |R_s^r g(s;r)(x)-R_s^r g(s;r)(\bar{x})|^2\,dr\,ds\\
&\le C\,E\Big[\int_0^T|f(r,x)-f(r,\bar{x})|^2\,dr+\int_0^T\int_s^T\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big(\partial^2_{ij}G_{r,s}(x-y)\big[Y(s;r,y)-Y(s;r,x)\big]\\
&\qquad-\partial^2_{ij}G_{r,s}(\bar{x}-y)\big[Y(s;r,y)-Y(s;r,\bar{x})\big]\Big)\,dy\Big|^2\,dr\,ds.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of the proof in Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\Big\|\int_\cdot^T R_\cdot^s g(\cdot;s)\,ds\Big\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\le C\,\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}.$$

□

We have the following Hölder estimate for (u,v).

Theorem 3.10. *Let Assumption 3.1 be satisfied and* $(\Phi,f)\in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;L^2(\Omega))\times C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$. *If* (u,v) *is a classical solution to BSPDE* (3.1), *then*

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2}+\|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\le C\Big(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2}+\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\Big),$$

where $C=C(\lambda,\Lambda,\alpha,n,d,T)$.

Proof. From Theorem 3.4 and Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9, we have

$$\|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\le C\Big(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2}+\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\Big).$$

Since (u,v) is the solution of BSPDE (3.1), we have for all $(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$u(t,x)=\Phi(x)+\int_t^T\Big(a_{ij}(s)\partial^2_{ij}u(s,x)+f(s,x)+\sigma(s)v(s,x)\Big)\,ds-\int_t^T v(s,x)dW_s,\quad dP\text{-}a.s..$$

For each x, it is a BSDE of terminal value $\Phi(x)$ and generator $a_{ij}(t)\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x)+f(t,x)+\sigma(t)V$. From the theory of BSDEs, we have

$$\begin{aligned}E[\sup_t |u(t,x)|^2] &\le C\,E\left[|\Phi(x)|^2+\int_0^T \left|a_{ij}(s)\partial^2_{ij}u(s,x)+f(s,x)\right|^2 ds\right]\\ &\le C\,E\left[|\Phi(x)|^2+\int_0^T \left[\left|\partial^2_{ij}u(s,x)\right|^2+\left|f(s,x)\right|^2\right] ds\right]\end{aligned}$$

and for all $\bar{x}\neq x$,

$$\begin{aligned}&E[\sup_t |u(t,x)-u(t,\bar{x})|^2]\\ \le{}& C\,E\left[|\Phi(x)-\Phi(\bar{x})|^2+\int_0^T \left[\left|\partial^2_{ij}u(s,x)-\partial^2_{ij}u(s,\bar{x})\right|^2+\left|f(s,x)-f(s,\bar{x})\right|^2\right] ds\right].\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2}\le C\left(\|\Phi\|_{\alpha,L^2}+\|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right)\le C\left(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2}+\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right).$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 3.1. In the preceding theorem, for $f\in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$, similar to the proof of Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\left\|\int_\cdot^T R^s_\cdot g(\cdot;s)\,ds\right\|_{1+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\le\ C\,\|f\|_{1+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}.$$

In view of $\Phi\in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;L^2(\Omega))$, we have $v\in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2)$ and

$$\|v\|_{1+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\le C\left(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2}+\|f\|_{1+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right).$$

3.3 Existence and Uniqueness

Theorem 3.11. *Let Assumption 3.1 be satisfied and* $(\Phi,f)\in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;L^2(\Omega))\times C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$*. Let* (φ,ψ) *and* (Y,g) *be defined by solutions of BSDEs* (3.7) *and* (3.8)*, respectively. Then, the pair* (u,v) *of random fields defined by*

$$u(t,x)=R^T_t\,\varphi(t)(x)+\int_t^T R^s_tY(t;s)(x)\,ds,$$

$$v(t,x)=R^T_t\,\psi(t)(x)+\int_t^T R^T_s\,g(t;s)(x)\,ds$$

is the unique classical solution to BSPDE (3.1)*. Moreover,* $(u,v)\in(C^\alpha_{\mathscr{S}^2}\cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2})\times C^\alpha_{\mathscr{L}^2}$*, and*

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2}+\|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\le C\Big(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2}+\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\Big),$$

where $C=C(\lambda,\Lambda,\alpha,n,d,T)$*.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{W}$ be defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.4. In view of Corollary 3.6, for all $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_t^T a_{ij}(s)\partial^2_{ij} R^T_s \varphi(s)(x)\, ds \\
=&\int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij}(s)\partial^2_{ij} G_{T,s}(x-y)[\varphi(s,y)-\varphi(s,x)]\, dy\, ds \\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G_{T,s}(x-y)[\varphi(s,y)-\varphi(s,x)]\, dy\, ds \\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{T,t}(x-y)[\varphi(t,y)-\varphi(t,x)]\, dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_t^T G_{T,s}(x-y) d[\varphi(s,y)-\varphi(s,x)]\, dy \\
=&R^T_t \varphi(t)(x) - \varphi(t,x) + \int_t^T R^T_s \psi_l(s)(x)\, d\widetilde{W}^l_s - \int_t^T \psi_l(s,x)\, d\widetilde{W}^l_s \\
=&R^T_t \varphi(t)(x) - \Phi(x) + \int_t^T R^T_s \psi_l(s)(x)\, d\widetilde{W}^l_s.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_t^T a_{ij}(s)\partial^2_{ij} \int_s^T R^r_s Y(s;r)(x)\, dr\, ds \\
=&\int_t^T \int_s^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_{ij}(s)\partial^2_{ij} G_{r,s}(x-y)\big[Y(s;r,y)-Y(s;r,x)\big]\, dy\, dr\, ds \\
=&\int_t^T \int_s^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G_{r,s}(x-y)\big[Y(s;r,y)-Y(s;r,x)\big]\, dy\, dr\, ds \\
=&\int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^r -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G_{r,s}(x-y)\big[Y(s;r,y)-Y(s;r,x)\big]\, ds\, dy\, dr \\
=&\int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_{r,t}(x-y)\big[Y(t;r,y)-Y(t;r,x)\big]\, dy\, dr \\
&\qquad + \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^r G_{r,s}(x-y) d_s\big[Y(s;r,y)-Y(s;r,x)\big]\, dy\, dr \\
=&-\int_t^T f(r,x)\, dr + \int_t^T R^r_t Y(t;r)(x)\, dr + \int_t^T \int_s^T R^r_s g_l(s;r)(x)\, dr\, d\widetilde{W}^l_s.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

In view of (3.16) and (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_t^T a_{ij}(s)\partial^2_{ij} u(s,x)\, ds \\
=&\int_t^T a_{ij}(s)\partial^2_{ij}\big[R^T_s \varphi(s)(x)\big]\, ds + \int_t^T a_{ij}(s)\partial^2_{ij}\Big[\int_s^T R^r_s Y(s;r)(x)\, dr\Big]\, ds \\
=&R^T_t \varphi(t)(x) - \Phi(x) + \int_t^T R^T_s \psi_l(s)(x)\, d\widetilde{W}^l_s - \int_t^T f(r,x)\, dr \\
&\quad + \int_t^T R^r_t Y(t;r,y)\, dr + \int_t^T \int_s^T R^r_s g_l(s;r)(x)\, dr\, d\widetilde{W}^l_s \\
=&-\Phi(x) - \int_t^T f(r,x)\, dr + u(t,x) + \int_t^T v_l(s,x)\, d\widetilde{W}^l_s.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (u, v) solves BSPDE (3.1), i.e.,

$$u(t,x) = \Phi(x) + \int_t^T \Big[a_{ij}(s)\partial^2_{ij}u(s,x) + f(r,x) + \sigma(s)v(s,x)\Big]\,ds - \int_t^T v(s,x)\,dW_s.$$

The remainder of the theorem can be found in Theorem 3.10. The proof is then complete. □

Moreover, we have the following Hölder continuity of u in time t. For any $\tau \in [0,T]$, denote by $\|\cdot\|_{m+\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2,\tau}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{m+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2,\tau}$ the obvious Hölder norms of a process restricted to the time interval $[\tau, T]$.

Proposition 3.12. *Let Assumption 3.1 be satisfied and*

$$(\Phi, f) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega)) \times C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T)).$$

Let $(u,v) \in C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2} \times C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}$ *be the classical solution to BSPDE* (3.1). *Then for any* $\tau \in [0,T]$, *we have*

$$\|u(\cdot,\cdot) - u(\cdot - \tau, \cdot)\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2,\tau} \le C\sqrt{\tau}\Big(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\Big).$$

where $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, T, n, d)$.

Proof. Since (u, v) satisfies BSPDE (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\int_\tau^T |u(t,x) - u(t-\tau,x)|^2\,dt\\
\le{}& CE\int_\tau^T \Big|\int_{t-\tau}^t \Big(a_{ij}(s)\partial^2_{ij}u(s,x) + f(s,x) + \sigma(s)v(s,x)\Big)\,ds\Big|^2\,dt\\
&\qquad + CE\int_\tau^T \Big|\int_{t-\tau}^t v(s,x)\,dW_s\Big|^2\,dt\\
={}& C\tau E\int_\tau^T \int_{s\vee\tau}^{T\wedge(s+\tau)} \Big(|\partial^2_{ij}u(s,x)|^2 + |f(s,x)|^2 + |v(s,x)|^2\Big)\,dt\,ds\\
&\qquad + CE\int_\tau^T \int_{s\vee\tau}^{T\wedge(s+\tau)} |v(s,x)|^2\,ds\,dt\\
\le{}& C\tau\Big([u]^2_{2,\mathscr{L}^2} + [f]^2_{0,\mathscr{L}^2} + [v]^2_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\Big)\\
\le{}& C\,\tau\Big(\|\Phi\|^2_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f\|^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any $x \ne \bar{x}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\int_\tau^T \big|u(t,x) - u(t-\tau,x) - [u(t,\bar{x}) - u(t-\tau,\bar{x})]\big|^2\,dt\\
\le{}& C\,\tau\Big(\|\Phi\|^2_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f\|^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\Big)|x-\bar{x}|^{2\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the desired result. □

4 BSPDEs with space-variable coefficients

In this section, using the conventional combinational techniques of the freezing coefficients method and the parameter continuity argument well developed in the theory of deterministic PDEs, we extend the a priori Hölder estimates as well as the existence and uniqueness result for the model BSPDE in the preceding section to more general BSPDEs (2.1).

We first give a preliminary lemma. Consider a smooth function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \le 1, \\ 0, & |x| > 2. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

For any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\theta > 0$ fixed, define

$$\eta_\theta^z(x) := \varphi(\frac{x-z}{\theta}).$$

Remark 4.1. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there is a constant $C = C(\gamma, n)$ such that

$$[D^\gamma \eta_\theta^z]_0 \le C\theta^{-|\gamma|}, \quad [D^\gamma \eta_\theta^z]_\alpha \le C\theta^{-|\gamma|-\alpha}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $h \in C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota))$ with $m = 0, 1, 2$. Then, there is a positive constant $C(\theta, \alpha)$ such that*

$$\|h\|_{m+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \le 2 \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^n} \|\eta_\theta^z h\|_{m+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C(\theta,\alpha)\|h\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove

$$[h]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \le 2 \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^n} [\eta_\theta^z h]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C(\theta,\alpha)\|h\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}.$$

The proof of the rest is similar.

For any $\theta > 0$ fixed, we have

$$[h]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \le I_1 + I_2$$

with

$$I_1 := \sum_{|\gamma|=2} \sup_{|x-\bar{x}|<\theta} \frac{\left(E\int_0^T |D^\gamma h(t,x) - D^\gamma h(t,\bar{x})|^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|x-\bar{x}|^\alpha} \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$I_2 := \sum_{|\gamma|=2} \sup_{|x-\bar{x}|\ge\theta} \frac{\left(E\int_0^T |D^\gamma h(t,x) - D^\gamma h(t,\bar{x})|^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|x-\bar{x}|^\alpha}. \tag{4.4}$$

For any $x, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $|x-\bar{x}| < \theta$, choose $z = x$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\le \sum_{|\gamma|=2} \sup_{|x-\bar{x}|<\theta} \frac{\left(E\int_0^T \left|D^\gamma\big(\eta_\theta^x(x)h(t,x)\big) - D^\gamma\big(\eta_\theta^x(\bar{x})h(t,\bar{x})\big)\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|x-\bar{x}|^\alpha} \\ &\le \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^n} [\eta_\theta^z h]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $|x-\bar{x}| \geq \theta$, using the interpolation inequality in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 \leq & \sum_{|\gamma|=2} \sup_{|x-\bar{x}|\geq\theta} \left(E \int_0^T |D^\gamma h(t,x) - D^\gamma h(t,\bar{x})|^2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta^{-\alpha} \\
\leq & C(\theta,\alpha)[h]_{2,\mathscr{L}^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}[h]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C(\theta,\alpha)[h]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
[h]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \leq 2 \sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^n} [\eta_\theta^z h]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C\|h\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}.
$$

□

We have the following a priori Hölder estimate on the solution (u,v) to BSPDE (2.1).

Theorem 4.2. *Let Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 be satisfied and* $(\Phi,f) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;L^2(\Omega)) \times C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$. *If* $(u,v) \in C^\alpha_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2} \times C^\alpha_{\mathscr{L}^2}$ *silves BSPDE* (2.1), *we have*

$$
\|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \leq C\Big(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\Big),
$$

where $C = C(\lambda,\Lambda,\alpha,n,d,T)$.

Proof. For any $z\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\theta>0$, denote

$$
u_\theta^z(t,x) := \eta_\theta^z(x)u(t,x), \quad v_\theta^z(t,x) := \eta_\theta^z(x)v(t,x), \quad \Phi_\theta^z(x) := \eta_\theta^z(x)\Phi(x),
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_\theta^z(t,x) :=& [a_{ij}(t,x) - a_{ij}(t,z)]\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x)\eta_\theta^z(x) + [\sigma(t,x)-\sigma(t,z)]v(t,x)\eta_\theta^z(x) \\
& - 2a_{ij}(t,z)\partial_i u(t,x)\partial_j\eta_\theta^z(x) - a_{ij}(t,z)u(t,x)\partial^2_{ij}\eta_\theta^z(x) \\
& + b_i(t,x)\partial_i u(t,x)\eta_\theta^z(x) + c(t,x)u(t,x)\eta_\theta^z(x) + f(t,x)\eta_\theta^z(x) \\
=& \sum_{i=1}^7 \mathscr{A}_i(t,x,z,\theta),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mathscr{A}_i(t,x,z,\theta)$ denoting the obvious i-th term $(i=1,2,\ldots,7)$ in the three lines of sum. Then we have $\Phi_\theta^z \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;L^2(\Omega)), f_\theta^z \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$, and $(u_\theta^z,v_\theta^z) \in C^\alpha_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2} \times C^\alpha_{\mathscr{L}^2}$. Moreover, (u_θ^z,v_θ^z) solves the following BSPDE

$$
\begin{cases}
-du_\theta^z(t,x) = \left[a_{ij}(t,z)\partial^2_{ij}u_\theta^z(t,x) + f_\theta^z(t,x) + \sigma_l(t,z)\left(v_\theta^z(t,x)\right)_l\right] \, dt \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad - \left(v_\theta^z(t,x)\right)_l \, dW_t^l, \quad (t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n; \\
u_\theta^z(T,x) = \Phi_\theta^z(x), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n.
\end{cases}
$$

To simplify notation, define the following two types of universal constants:

$$
C := C(\lambda,\Lambda,\alpha,n,d,T), \quad C(\cdot) := C(\cdot,\lambda,\Lambda,\alpha,n,d,T).
$$

In view of Theorem 3.10, we have

$$
\|u_\theta^z\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v_\theta^z\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \leq C\left(\|\Phi_\theta^z\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f_\theta^z\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right).
$$

From Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \\
\leq\, & C\left(\sup_z \|\Phi_\theta^z\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \sup_z \|f_\theta^z\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right) + C(\theta)\left(\|u\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Thus, to estimate (u,v), we need estimate Φ_θ^z and $\mathscr{A}_i, i = 1,\dots,7$, in terms of f_θ^z.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_\theta^z\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} &= [\eta_\theta^z\Phi]_{0,L^2} + [\eta_\theta^z\Phi]_{1,L^2} + [\eta_\theta^z\Phi]_{1+\alpha,L^2} \\
&\leq C\left(1 + \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^{1+\alpha}}\right)[\Phi]_{0,L^2} + C\left(1 + \frac{1}{\theta^\alpha}\right)[\Phi]_{1,L^2} + \frac{C}{\theta}[\Phi]_{\alpha,L^2} + [\Phi]_{1+\alpha,L^2} \\
&\leq C(\theta)\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by $[\cdot]_{m+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2,A}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{m+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2,A}$ the semi-norm and norm of functionals on subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ instead of on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is obvious that $\mathscr{A}_1(t,x,z,\theta) \equiv 0$ for $x \notin B_{2\theta}(z)$. In view of Remark 2.1 and the interpolation inequality in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathscr{A}_1(\cdot,\cdot,z,\theta)\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} = \|\mathscr{A}_1(\cdot,\cdot,z,\theta)\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2,B_{2\theta}(z)} \\
\leq\, & [a_{ij}(\cdot,\cdot) - a_{ij}(\cdot,z)]_{0,\mathscr{L}^\infty,B_{2\theta}(z)}\|\partial_{ij}^2 u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + [a_{ij}(\cdot,\cdot) - a_{ij}(\cdot,z)]_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^\infty}[u]_{2,\mathscr{L}^2} \\
&+ [a_{ij}(\cdot,\cdot) - a_{ij}(\cdot,z)]_{0,\mathscr{L}^\infty,B_{2\theta}(z)}[\eta_\theta^z]_\alpha[u]_{2,\mathscr{L}^2} \\
\leq\, & \Lambda(2\theta)^\alpha\left([u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + [u]_{2,\mathscr{L}^2}\right) + C[u]_{2,\mathscr{L}^2} \\
\leq\, & \Lambda(2\theta)^\alpha\left([u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \varepsilon[u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C(\varepsilon)[u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right) + C\left(\varepsilon[u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C(\varepsilon)[u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right) \\
\leq\, & C\left(\theta^\alpha(1+\varepsilon) + \varepsilon\right)[u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C(\varepsilon,\theta)[u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\mathscr{A}_2(\cdot,\cdot,z,\theta)\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \leq\ C\theta^\alpha\|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C[v]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathscr{A}_3(\cdot,\cdot,z,\theta)\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} &\leq C[\partial_i u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}|\partial_j\eta_\theta^z|_\alpha + C[\partial_i u]_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}[\partial_j\eta_\theta^z]_0 \\
&\leq C\left(\frac{1}{\theta}+\frac{1}{\theta^{1+\alpha}}\right)\left[\varepsilon[u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+C(\varepsilon)[u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right] + \frac{C}{\theta}\left[\varepsilon[u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+C(\varepsilon)[u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right] \\
&\leq C\left(\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^{1+\alpha}}\right)\varepsilon[u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C(\varepsilon,\theta)[u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathscr{A}_4(\cdot,\cdot,z,\theta)\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} &\leq C[u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}|\partial_{ij}^2\eta_\theta^z|_\alpha + C[u]_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}[\partial_{ij}^2\eta_\theta^z]_0 \\
&\leq C\left(\frac{1}{\theta^2} + \frac{1}{\theta^{2+\alpha}}\right)[u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2} + \frac{C}{\theta^2}\left(\varepsilon[u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C_\varepsilon[u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\theta^2}\varepsilon[u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C(\varepsilon,\theta)[u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathscr{A}_5(\cdot,\cdot,z,\theta)\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} &\leq C[\partial_i u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}|\eta_\theta^z|_\alpha + C[\partial_i u]_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C[\partial_i u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2} \\
&\leq C\left(1+\frac{1}{\theta^\alpha}\right)\varepsilon[u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C(\varepsilon,\theta)[u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\|\mathscr{A}_6(\cdot,\cdot,z,\theta)\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \leq C\left([u]_{0,\mathscr{L}_T^2}|\eta_\theta^z|_\alpha + [u]_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + [u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right) \leq C\varepsilon[u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + C(\varepsilon,\theta)[u]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2},$$

$$\|\mathscr{A}_7(\cdot,\cdot,z,\theta)\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \leq \|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + [f]_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}[\eta_\theta^z]_\alpha \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\theta^\alpha}\right)\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}.$$

Choosing first θ and then ε to be sufficiently small, in view of inequality(4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\\ \le&\frac{1}{2}\left([u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right)+C\left(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2}+\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|u\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|v\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\le C\left(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2}+\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|u\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|v\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right). \tag{4.6}$$

Next we estimate $\|v\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}$. BSPDE (2.1) can be written in integral form,

$$\begin{aligned}u(t,x)=&\Phi(x)+\int_t^T\Big[a_{ij}(s,x)\partial^2_{ij}u(s,x)+b_i(s,x)\partial_iu(s,x)+c(s,x)u(s,x)\\ &+f(s,x)+\sigma(s,x)v(s,x)\Big]\,ds-\int_t^T v(s,x)\,dW_s,\quad dP\text{-}a.s..\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

For any fixed $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, it is a BSDE with terminal condition $\Phi(x)$ and generator

$$a_{ij}(t,x)\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x)+b_i(t,x)\partial_iu(t,x)+c(t,x)U+f(t,x)+\sigma(t,x)V.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&E\int_0^T|v(t,x)|^2\,dt\\ \le&CE\left[|\Phi(x)|^2+\int_0^T|a_{ij}(t,x)\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x)+b_i(t,x)\partial_iu(t,x)+f(t,x)|^2\,dt\right]\\ \le&CE\left[|\Phi(x)|^2+\int_0^T\left[|\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x)|^2+|\partial_iu(t,x)|^2+|f(t,x)|^2\right]\,dt\right].\end{aligned}$$

By the interpolation inequalities in Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\le&C\left(\|\Phi\|_{0,L^2}+\|u\|_{2,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|u\|_{1,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|f\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right)\\ \le&C\varepsilon[u]_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+C(\varepsilon)\left(\|\Phi\|_{0,L^2}+\|u\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|f\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.6), choosing ε to be sufficiently small, we have

$$\|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\le C\left(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2}+\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|u\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right). \tag{4.8}$$

We now establish a maximum principle of u. In BSDE (4.7), for any $(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}E[|u(t,x)|^2]\le&CE\left[|\Phi(x)|^2+\int_t^T|a_{ij}(t,x)\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x)+b_i(t,x)\partial_iu(t,x)+f(t,x)|^2dt\right]\\ \le&CE\left[\int_t^T\left(|\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x)|^2+|\partial_iu(t,x)|^2\right)\,dt\right]+C\left(\|\Phi(x)\|^2_{0,L^2}+\|f\|^2_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

For any $t\in[0,T]$, repeating all the preceding arguments on $[t,T]$, we see that the estimate (4.8) still holds for $\|\cdot\|_{m+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2,t}$, i.e.,

$$\|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2,t}\le C(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2}+\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}+\|u\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2,t}).$$

Taking supremum on both sides of (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_x E[|u(t,x)|^2] \leq & C\left(\|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2,t} + \|\Phi(x)\|^2_{0,L^2} + \|f\|^2_{0,\mathscr{L}^2}\right)\\ \leq & C\left(\|u\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2,t} + \|\Phi(x)\|^2_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f\|^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right)\\ \leq & C\left(\int_t^T \sup_x E|u(s,x)|^2\,ds + \|\Phi(x)\|^2_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f\|^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

From Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\|u\|_{0,\mathscr{L}^2} \leq \int_0^T \sup_x E[|u(t,x)|^2]\,dt \leq \ C\left(\|\Phi(x)\|^2_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f\|^2_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right). \tag{4.10}$$

By (4.8) and (4.10), we conclude that

$$\|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \leq C\left(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right). \tag{4.11}$$

In a similar way, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} \leq & C\ \left[\|\Phi\|_{\alpha,L^2} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right]\\ \leq & C\ \left[\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

The proof is complete. □

Using the method of continuity (see Gilbarg and Trudinger [12, Theorem 5.2]), we have from Theorems 3.11 and 4.2 the following existence and uniqueness result for BSPDE (2.1).

Theorem 4.3. *Let Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 be satisfied, and*

$$(\Phi, f) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega)) \times C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T)).$$

Then, BSPDE (2.1) *has a unique solution* $(u,v) \in (C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}) \times C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}$. *Moreover, there is a positive constant* $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, n, d, T)$ *such that*

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \leq C(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}).$$

Proof. Define

$$Lu := a_{ij}\partial^2_{ij}u + b_i\partial_i u + cu, \quad Mv := \sigma v;$$

and for $\tau \in [0,1]$,

$$L_\tau u := (1-\tau)Lu + \tau\Delta u, \qquad M_\tau v := (1-\tau)Mv + \tau v,$$

with Δ being the Laplacian of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Consider the following space

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{J}^\alpha := \Big\{ & (u,v) \in (C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}) \times C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2} :\ u(t,x) = \Phi(x) + \int_t^T F(s,x)ds - \int_t^T v(s,x)\,dW_s,\\ & \forall t \in [0,T],\ \text{for some } (\Phi, F) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega)) \times C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|(u,v)\|_{\mathscr{J}^\alpha} := \|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|F\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}, \quad (u,v) \in \mathscr{J}^\alpha.$$

Then $\mathscr{J}^\alpha$ is a Banach space.

Define the mapping $\Pi_\tau : \mathscr{J}^\alpha \to C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega)) \times C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$ as follows:

$$\Pi_\tau(u,v) := (\Phi,\, F - L_\tau u - M_\tau v), \quad (u,v) \in \mathscr{J}^\alpha.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Pi_\tau(u,v)\| :=& \|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|F - L_\tau u - M_\tau v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \\ \le& \|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|F\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|L_\tau u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|M_\tau v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \\ \le& C\Big(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|F\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\Big) = C\|(u,v)\|_{\mathscr{J}^\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

Inversely, for all $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$u(t,x) = \Phi(x) + \int_t^T \big[L_\tau u + M_\tau v + (F - L_\tau u - M_\tau v)\big]\, ds - \int_t^T v(s,x)\, dW_s, \quad P\text{-}a.s..$$

Then, we have from Theorem 4.2 the following estimate

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \le C(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|F - L_\tau u - M_\tau v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}).$$

Thus, we obtain the following inverse inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(u,v)\|_{\mathscr{J}^\alpha} \\ =& \|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|F\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \\ \le& \|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|F - L_\tau u - M_\tau v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \\ &\quad + \|L_\tau u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|M_\tau v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \\ \le& C\Big(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|F - L_\tau u - M_\tau v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\Big) = C\|\Pi_\tau(u,v)\|.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.11 implies that Π_1 is onto. Then, in view of the method of continuity in Gilbarg and Trudinger [12, Theorem 5.2, page 75], Π_τ is also onto for all $\tau \in [0,1)$. In particular, Π_0 is onto. The desired result follows. □

Similar to Proposition 3.12, we have the following Hölder time-continuity of u.

Proposition 4.4. *Let Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 be satisfied and* $(\Phi, f) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(\Omega)) \times C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$. *Let* $(u,v) \in (C^\alpha_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}) \times C^\alpha_{\mathscr{L}^2}$ *solve BSPDE* (2.1). *Then, for any* $\tau \in [0,T]$,

$$\|u(\cdot,\cdot) - u(\cdot - \tau, \cdot)\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2,\tau} \le C\,\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\Big),$$

where $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, T, n, d)$.

At the end of this section, we discuss the consequence of the preceding results on a deterministic PDE. As we know, if all the coefficients are deterministic, a BSPDE is in fact a deterministic PDE. Thus, let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $a : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{S}$, $b : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma_l : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^d$,

$c, f : [0.T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be all deterministic functions. Then, the second unknown variable of BSPDE (2.1) turns out to be 0, and BSPDE (2.1) is in fact the following deterministic PDE:

$$\begin{cases} du(t,x) = a_{ij}(t,x)\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x) + b_i(t,x)\partial_i u(t,x) + c(t,x)u(t,x) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + f(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n; \\ u(T,x) = \Phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

The subspace of all the deterministic elements in the Hölder space $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^p(\Omega))$ is exactly the classical Hölder space $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and those in $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota))$ and $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{S}^p_{\mathbb{F}}[0,T])$ are $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^p(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota))$ and $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; C[0,T])$, respectively. A field $\phi \in C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^p(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota))$ has the following form

$$\|\phi\|_{m+\alpha,L^p} = \sum_{|\gamma|\le m} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \int_0^T |D^\gamma \phi(t,x)|^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} + \sum_{|\gamma|=m} \sup_{\substack{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n \\ x\neq y}} \frac{\left\{\int_0^T |D^\gamma\phi(t,x) - D^\gamma\phi(t,y)|^p dt\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}}{|x-y|^\alpha}.$$

In an obvious similar way, we define the norm $\|\cdot\|_{m+\alpha,C}$ in $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; C[0,T])$, and the norm $\|\phi\|_{m+\alpha,L^\infty}$ in space $C^{m+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{R}^\iota))$.

Assumption 2.2 becomes the following one:

Assumption 4.1. *$a \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}))$, $b \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; L^\infty(0,T;\mathbb{R}^n))$, $c, f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; L^\infty(0,T))$. There is a constant $\Lambda > 0$ such that*

$$\|a\|_{\alpha,L^\infty}, \|b\|_{\alpha,L^\infty}, \|c\|_{\alpha,L^\infty}, \|f\|_{\alpha,L^\infty} \le \Lambda.$$

In view of Theorem 4.3, we have the following existence, uniqueness and regularity result for PDE (4.13).

Proposition 4.5. *Let Assumptions 2.1 and 4.1 be satisfied, and*

$$(\Phi, f) \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(0,T)).$$

Then, PDE (4.13) has a unique solution $u \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; C[0,T]) \cap C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(0,T))$ such that

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,C} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,L^2} \le C(|\Phi|_{1+\alpha} + \|f\|_{\alpha,L^2}),$$

where $C = C(\lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, n, d, T)$.

It shows that the solution u to PDE (4.13) is $(2+\alpha)$-Hölder continuous if Φ is $(1+\alpha)$-Hölder continuous and f is α-Hölder continuous. Thus, the regularity in Proposition 4.5 is sharp. Moreover, Proposition 4.5 seems to have a novelty as explained in the following remark.

Remark 4.2. Mikulevicius [19] solves the Cauchy problem of a SPDE in a functional Hölder space, which includes the following a priori estimate for PDE (4.13): If $\Phi = 0$, $f(t,\cdot) \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any t, and $\sup_t |f|_\alpha < +\infty$, then PDE (4.13) has a unique solution u such that

$$u(t,\cdot) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{ and } \sup_t |u|_{2+\alpha} < C \sup_t |f|_\alpha.$$

In contrast, in Proposition 4.5 we require $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(0,T))$ and assert $u \in C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; L^2(0,T))$.

5 Semi-linear BSPDEs

In this section, consider the following semi-linear BSPDE:

$$\begin{cases} -du(t,x) = \left[a_{ij}(t,x)\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x) + f(t,x,\nabla u(t,x),u(t,x),v(t,x))\right] dt \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad - v(t,x)\, dW_t, \quad (t,x) \in [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(T,x) = \Phi(x), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Here $a : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$ satisfies the super-parabolic and bounded assumptions 2.1 and 2.2, $f : [0,T]\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is jointly measurable, and $f(\cdot,x,q,u,v)$ is $\mathbb{F}$-adapted for any $(x,q,u,v)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$.

We make the following Lipschitz assumption on f.

Assumption 5.1. For any $(q_1,u_1,v_1),(q_2,u_2,v_2)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d$, there is a constant $L>0$, such that for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|f(t,x,q_1,u_1,v_1) - f(t,x,q_2,u_2,v_2)| \le L(|q_1-q_2| + |u_1-u_2| + |v_1-v_2|), \quad dt\times dP\text{-}a.e., a.s.,$$

and $f(\cdot,\cdot,0,0,0)\in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$.

Then we have the following existence, uniqueness and regularity on semi-linear BSPDE (5.1).

Theorem 5.1. *Let Assumptions 2.1, 2.2, and 5.1 be satisfied, and $\Phi\in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n;L^2(\Omega))$. Then the semi-linear BSPDE (5.1) has a unique solution $(u,v)\in(C^\alpha_{\mathscr{S}^2}\cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2})\times C^\alpha_{\mathscr{L}^2}$. Moreover*

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \le C(\|\Phi\|_{1+\alpha,L^2} + \|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}),$$

where $C = C(\lambda,\Lambda,\alpha,n,d,T)$.

The proof requires the following two additional preliminary lemmas. Consider the following linear BSPDE.

$$\begin{cases} -du(t,x) = \left[a_{ij}(t,x)\partial^2_{ij}u(t,x) - \beta u(t,x) + f(t,x)\right] dt \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad - v(t,x)\, dW_t,\ (t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n; \\ u(T,x) = 0, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

where $a : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathcal{S}^n$ is the same as before, and $\beta>0$ is a constant. When $a(t,x)\equiv a(t)$, define

$$G^\beta_{s,t}(x) := e^{-\beta(s-t)}G_{s,t}(x), \quad 0\le t\le s\le T.$$

Lemma 5.2. *For a universal constant $C = C(\lambda,\Lambda,\alpha,\gamma,n,T)$, we have*

(i) For $\alpha\in(0,1)$ and $\gamma\in\Gamma$ such that $|\gamma|\le 2$,

$$\int_\tau^s\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^\gamma G^\beta_{s,t}(x)||x|^\alpha\, dx\, dt \le C\beta^{-1+\frac{|\gamma|-\alpha}{2}}, \quad T\ge s>\tau\ge 0. \tag{5.3}$$

(ii) For $\gamma\in\Gamma$ such that $|\gamma|=2$ and $0\le\tau\le s\le T$,

$$\int_\tau^s\left|\int_{|y|\le a} D^\gamma G^\beta_{s,t}(y)\, dy\right| dt = \int_\tau^s\left|\int_{|y|\ge a} D^\gamma G^\beta_{s,t}(y)\, dy\right| dt \le C\beta^{-1}, \quad \forall a>0. \tag{5.4}$$

(iii) For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $|\gamma| = 2$,

$$\int_{|y|\le a} \sup_{\tau \le s} \int_{\tau}^{s} |D^{\gamma} G^{\beta}_{s,t}(y)||y|^{\alpha}\, dt\, dy \le C\beta^{-1} a^{\alpha}, \quad \forall a > 0. \tag{5.5}$$

(iv) For any $x, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $|\gamma| = 2$,

$$\int_{|y-x|>a} \sup_{\tau \le s} \int_{\tau}^{s} \Big| D^{\gamma} G^{\beta}_{s,t}(x-y) - D^{\gamma} G^{\beta}_{s,t}(\bar{x}-y) \Big| |\bar{x}-y|^{\alpha}\, dt\, dy \le C\beta^{-1}|x-\bar{x}|^{\alpha}, \quad \forall a > 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let $f \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$. If $(u,v) \in (C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}) \times C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}$ is the solution of BSPDE* (5.1)*, then*

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \le C(\beta)\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2},$$

where $C(\beta) := C(\beta, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, n, d, T) > 0$, which converges to zero as $\beta \to \infty$.

Proof. **Step 1:** $a(t,x) \equiv a(t)$.

Proceeding similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 and Theorems 3.4 and 3.11, we have that the pair (u,v) defined for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$u(t,x) := \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G^{\beta}_{s,t}(x-y)\, Y(t; s, y)\, dy\, ds, \quad \forall t \in [0,T], \ dP\text{-}a.s.,$$

and

$$v_l(t,x) := \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G^{\beta}_{r,t}(x-y)\, g_l(s; r, y)\, dy\, dr, \quad dt \times dP\text{-}a.e., a.s., \quad l = 1, \cdots, d,$$

is the unique solution to the linear BSPDE (5.2) with

$$Y(t; \tau, x) := f(\tau, x) - \int_t^{\tau} g_l(r; \tau, x)\, dW^l_r, \quad \forall t \le \tau.$$

In view of the estimates of Lemma 5.2, proceeding similarly as in the proof of Lemmas 3.8, 3.9, and Theorem 3.10, we have

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \le C(\beta)\|f\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2},$$

where $C(\beta) := C(\beta, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, n, d, T) > 0$ is sufficiently small for sufficiently large β.

Step 2: $(a_{ij})_{n\times n}$ depends on x. Using the freezing coefficients method as in Lemma 4.2, we have the desired result. ☐

Proof of Theorem 5.1. For any $(U_1, V_1) \in (C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}) \times C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}$, $f(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla U_1(\cdot,\cdot), U_1(\cdot,\cdot), V_1(\cdot,\cdot)) \in C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathscr{L}^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0,T))$ because of Assumption 5.1 for f. In view of Theorem 4.3,

$$\begin{cases} -du_1(t,x) = \left[a_{ij}(t,x)\partial^2_{ij} u_1(t,x) + f(t,x,\nabla U_1(t,x), U_1(t,x), V_1(t,x))\right] dt \\ \qquad\qquad - v_1(t,x)\, dW_t, \quad (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u_1(T,x) = \Phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

has a unique solution $(u_1, v_1) \in (C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}) \times C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}$. For any $(U_2, V_2) \in (C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}) \times C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}$, denote $(u_2, v_2) \in (C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}) \times C^{\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}$ as the solution of equation (5.7) with (U_1, V_1) replaced by (U_2, V_2). Define $\bar{u}(t,x) = u_1(t,x) - u_2(t,x)$, $\bar{U}(t,x) = U_1(t,x) - U_2(t,x)$, $\bar{v}(t,x) =$

$v_1(t,x) - v_2(t,x)$, $\bar{V}(t,x) = V_1(t,x) - V_2(t,x)$, $\bar{f}(t,x) = f(t,x,\nabla U_1(t,x), U_1(t,x), V_1(t,x)) - f(t,x,\nabla U_2(t,x), U_2(t,x), V_2(t,x))$, then

$$\begin{cases} -d\big[e^{\beta t}\bar{u}(t,x)\big] = \Big(a_{ij}(t,x)\partial^2_{ij}\big[e^{\beta t}\bar{u}(t,x)\big] - \beta e^{\beta t}\bar{u}(t,x) + e^{\beta t}\bar{f}(t,x)\Big)\,dt \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad - e^{\beta t}\bar{v}(t,x)(t,x)\,dW_t, \quad (t,x) \in [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n, \\ e^{\beta T}\bar{u}(T,x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{5.8}$$

In view of Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^{\beta\cdot}\bar{u}\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|e^{\beta\cdot}\bar{u}\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|e^{\beta\cdot}\bar{v}\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \\ \le& C(\beta)\|e^{\beta\cdot}\bar{f}\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} \\ \le& C(\beta)L\left[\|e^{\beta\cdot}\bar{U}\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|e^{\beta\cdot}\bar{U}\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|e^{\beta\cdot}\bar{V}\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}\right], \end{aligned}$$

with $C(\beta)L < 1$ for a sufficiently large β. Since the weighted norm $\|e^{\beta\cdot}u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|e^{\beta\cdot}u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|e^{\beta\cdot}v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}$ is equivalent to the original one $\|u\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{S}^2} + \|u\|_{2+\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2} + \|v\|_{\alpha,\mathscr{L}^2}$ in $(C^\alpha_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}) \times C^\alpha_{\mathscr{L}^2}$, the semi-linear BSPDE (5.1) has a unique solution $(u,v) \in (C^\alpha_{\mathscr{S}^2} \cap C^{2+\alpha}_{\mathscr{L}^2}) \times C^\alpha_{\mathscr{L}^2}$. The desired estimate is proved in a similar way. □

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to Xicheng Zhang for his valuable comments on the first version, and Jinniao Qiu for several useful discussions.

References

[1] A. Bensoussan, *Maximum principle and dynamic programming approaches of the optimal control of partially observed diffusions*, Stochastics, 9 (1983), pp. 169–222.

[2] ——, *Stochastic Control of Partially Observed Systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[3] J. Bismut, *Théorie probabiliste du contrôle des diffusions*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc, (1973). Providence, Rhode Island.

[4] ——, *Linear quadradic optimal stochastic control with random coefficients*, SIAM Journal on control and Optimization, 14 (1976), pp. 414–444.

[5] ——, *An introductory approach to duality in optimal stochastic control*, SIAM Riew, 20 (1978), pp. 62–78.

[6] N. Dokuchaev, *Backward parabolic Itô equations and the second fundamental inequality*, Random Operators and Stochastic Equations, 20 (2012), pp. 69–102.

[7] K. Du and Q. Meng, *A revisit to W^2_n-theory of super-parabolic backward stochastic partial differential equations in $\mathbb{R}^d$*, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 120 (2010), pp. 1996–2015.

[8] K. Du, J. Qiu, and S. Tang, *L^p theory for super-parabolic backward stochastic partial differential equations in the whole space*, Applied Mathematics and Optimization, 65 (2012), pp. 175–219.

[9] K. Du and S. Tang, *On the Dirichlet problem for backward parabolic stochastic partial differential equations in general smooth domains*, (2009). Arxiv preprint arXiv:0910.2289.

[10] K. Du and S. Tang, *Strong solution of backward stochastic partial differential equations in C^2 domains*, Probability Theory and Related Fields, 154 (2012), pp. 255–285.

[11] K. Du, S. Tang, and Q. Zhang, *$W^{m,p}$-solution ($p \geq 2$) of linear degenerate backward stochastic partial differential equations in the whole space*, J. Diff. Equ., 257 (2013), pp. 2877–2904.

[12] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Sencond Order*, Springer, 2001.

[13] Y. Hu, J. Ma, and J. Yong, *On semi-linear degenerate backward stochastic differential equations*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 123 (2002), pp. 381–411.

[14] Y. Hu and S. Peng, *Adapted solution of a backward semi-linear stochastic evolution equations*, Stoch. Anal. Appl., 9 (1991), pp. 445–459.

[15] N. E. Karoui, S. Peng, and M. Quenez, *Backward stochastic differential equations in finance*, Math. Finance, 7 (1997), pp. 1–71.

[16] O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and Quasi-Linear Equations of Parabolic Type*, American Mathematical Society, 1968.

[17] J. Ma and J. Yong, *Adapted solution of a degenerate backward SPDE, with applications*, Stochastic Processes and Their Applications, 70 (1997), pp. 59–84.

[18] ——, *On linear, degenerate backward stochastic differential equations*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 113 (1999), pp. 135–170.

[19] R. Mikulevicius, *On the Cauchy problem for parabolic SPDEs in Hölder classes*, The Annals of Probability, 28 (2000), pp. 74–103.

[20] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, *Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation*, Systems Control Lett., 14 (1990), pp. 55–61.

[21] S. Peng, *Stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 30 (1992), pp. 284–304.

[22] J. Qiu and S. Tang, *Maximum principles for backward stochastic partial differential equations*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 262 (2012), pp. 2436–2480.

[23] J. Qiu, S. Tang, and Y. You, *2D backward stochastic Navier-Stokes equations with nonlinear forcing*, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 122 (2012), pp. 334–356.

[24] Rozovskiĭ, *On stochastic partial differential equations.*

[25] S. Tang, *The maximum principle for partially observed optimal control of stochastic differential equations*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 36 (1998), pp. 1596–1617.

[26] ——, *A new partially observed stochastic maximum principle*, in 37th IEEE Control and Decision Conference, Tampa, Florida, December 1998, pp. 2353–2358.

[27] ——, *Semi-linear systems of backward stochastic partial differential equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$*, Chin. Ann. Math., 26B (2005), pp. 437–456.

[28] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[29] J. Yong and X. Zhou, *Stochastic Control: Hamiltonian Systems and HJB Equations*, Springer, New York, 1999.

[30] X. Zhou, *A duality analysis on stochastic partial differential equations*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 103 (1992), pp. 275–293.

[31] ——, *On the necessary condition of optimal controls for stochastic partial differential equations*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 31 (1993), pp. 1462–1478.